arXiv:1704.00966v1 [math.SP] 4 Apr 2017

EQUIDISTRIBUTION OF PHASE SHIFTS IN OBSTACLE SCATTERING

JESSE GELL-REDMAN AND MAXIME INGREMEAU

Abstract. For scattering off a smooth, strictly convex obstacle $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with positive curvature, we show that the eigenvalues of the scattering matrix – the phase shifts – equidistribute on the unit circle as the frequency $k \to \infty$ at a rate proportional to k^{d-1}, under a standard condition on the set of closed orbits of the billiard map in the interior. Indeed, in any sector $S \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ not containing 1, there are $c_d|S|\mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega)\ k^{d-1} + o(k^{d-1})$ eigenvalues for k large, where c_d is a constant depending only on the dimension. Using this result, the two term asymptotic expansion for the counting function of Dirichlet eigenvalues, and a spectral-duality result of Eckmann-Pillet, we then give an alternative proof of the two term asymptotic of the total scattering phase due to Majda-Ralston [16].

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ denote a smooth, strictly convex set with positive sectional curvature. We shall write $\Omega^c := \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \Omega$. It is well-known (see for instance [17, §5] or [8, §4.4]) that for any $k > 0$ and any $\phi_{in} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, there is a unique solution $u \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega^c})$ to the Dirichlet problem

$$(\Delta + k^2)u = 0 \qquad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

such that

$$u(x) = |x|^{-(d-1)/2}\big(e^{-ik|x|}\phi_{in}(-\widehat{x}) + e^{ik|x|}\phi_{out}(\widehat{x})\big) + O_{|x|\to\infty}(|x|^{-(d+1)/2}), \tag{1.1}$$

where we write $\widehat{x} = \frac{x}{|x|} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i}^2$. In particular ϕ_{out} is determined by ϕ_{in} and we define the *scattering matrix* $S(k)$, which depends on k and Ω, by

$$S(k)(\phi_{in}) := e^{i\pi(d-1)/2}\phi_{out}.$$

In fact $S(k)$ extends to a unitary operator acting on $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ with the property that $S(k) - \mathrm{Id}$ is trace class [26, 22]. Therefore, for any $k > 0$, $S(k)$ has purely discrete spectrum, accumulating only at 1, which we denote by $\sigma(S(k)) := \{e^{i\beta_{k,n}}\}$. *Our aim in this paper will be to study the asymptotic distribution of* the $e^{i\beta_{k,n}}$ as $k \to \infty$.

One of our main results is an estimate for the number of phase shifts in a sector $S \subset \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\}$ as $k \to \infty$. Define the counting function

$$N_k(\phi_0, \phi_1, \Omega) = N_k(\phi_0, \phi_1) := \#\{e^{i\beta_{k,n}} \in \sigma(S(k)) : \phi_0 < \beta_{k,n} < \phi_1, \ \mathrm{mod}\ 2\pi\}.$$

Letting $\omega_{d-1} = |B^{d-1}|$ where B^{d-1} is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$, we will prove

$$N_k(\phi_0, \phi_1) = \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\Big(\frac{\phi_1 - \phi_0}{2\pi}\Big)\mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega)k^{d-1} + o(k^{d-1}). \tag{1.2}$$

In particular, the phase shifts accumulate in each sector S at a rate proportional to k^{d-1} as $k \to \infty$ times $\mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega)|S|$. The estimate in (1.2) follows from Theorem 1.1, see Section 6.

To study the asymptotic distribution of the phase shifts, consider the measure μ_k on the circle $\mathbb{S}^1$, defined for continuous functions $f\colon \mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\langle \mu_k, f\rangle = \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1} \sum_{\sigma(S(k))} f(e^{i\beta_{k,n}}). \tag{1.3}$$

Note that $\langle \mu_k, f\rangle$ is finite if $1 \notin \mathrm{supp}\, f$. The following theorem describes the behavior μ_k as $k \to \infty$, provided (2.5) holds, which is a standard assumption on the volume of the periodic points of the inside billiard map. Note that this assumption holds if our smooth convex obstacle, is generic, or is analytic (see the discussion at the end of Section 2).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a smooth strictly convex open set, such that (2.5) holds. Then for any $f\colon \mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \cap \{1\} = \varnothing$, we have*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \langle \mu_k, f\rangle = \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(\partial\Omega)\omega_{d-1}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \tag{1.4}$$

Remark 1.2. *The factor in front of the integral in* (1.4) *arises as the volume of the 'interacting region' in phase space of incoming rays from the sphere at infinity that make contact with the obstacle. See Section 2 for further description of the classical dynamics. In* [10]*, in which the first author and collaborators studied the same problem for semiclassical potential scattering, they defined a measure μ_h, depending on a semiclassical parameter $h \to 0$, analogously to the measure in* (1.3) *except they included the volume of the interacting region. Here we prefer not to, so that the dependence on the interacting region appears explicitly in the limit measure.*

As an application of the equidistribution of the measure μ_k, we will give an alternative proof of the following result of Majda-Ralston, generalized by Melrose and then by Robert, regarding the asymptotic development of the total scattering phase

$$s(k) = i \log\det S(k). \tag{1.5}$$

The scattering phase $s(k)$ can be defined in a natural way so that $s(k) \in C^\infty((0,\infty))$.

Theorem 1.3 ([16, 18, 23])**.** *Let Ω be a smoothly bounded, strictly convex obstacle whose set of periodic billiard trajectories has measure zero. Then*

$$s(k) = \frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\operatorname{Vol}(\Omega)k^d + \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{4(2\pi)^{d-2}}\operatorname{Vol}(\partial\Omega)k^{d-1} + o(k^{d-1}). \tag{1.6}$$

In fact, Theorem [18, 23] holds for *all smoothly bounded, compact domains* satisfying the stated assumption on the periodic trajectories.

As we describe in Section 6, the novelty in our proof comes from its use of the explicit relationship between the counting function for the Dirichlet eigenvalues,

$$N_D(\lambda_0) := \#\{0 < \lambda < \lambda_0 : \exists \phi \in L^2(\Omega),\ \phi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,\ \Delta\phi = -\lambda^2\phi, \phi \neq 0\}. \tag{1.7}$$

and the scattering phase which arises from the spectral duality result of Eckmann-Pillet [9]. Indeed, note that the leading order term in (1.6) is 2π times the leading order term in Weyl's law [15], which is to be expected since, as explained in Section 6, 'inside-outside' duality says that a phase shift makes a complete rotation of the unit circle for each Dirichlet eigenvalue of Ω.

The main technical ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is a trace formula for powers of the scattering amplitude

$$A(k) := S(k) - \mathrm{Id}. \tag{1.8}$$

Proposition 1.4. *Suppose that (2.5) holds. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$Tr A^p(k) = (-1)^p \operatorname{Vol}(\partial\Omega)\omega_{d-1}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1} + O(k^{d-1-1/3+\varepsilon}). \tag{1.9}$$

In particular, for any trigonometric polynomial P vanishing at 1 and for the measure μ_k in (1.3)*, as $k \to \infty$,*

$$\langle \mu_k, P\rangle = \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(\partial\Omega)\omega_{d-1}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} P(\theta)\mathrm{d}\theta + O(k^{-1/3+\varepsilon})$$

As we show in Section 6, the trace formula in Proposition 1.4, together with k-dependent bounds for the number of eigenvalues at least a fixed exponentially small distance from 1, imply Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.5. *It may appear surprising that the bound on the error is much better in (1.9) than in (1.4). The reason for this is that in (1.9), the constant in the $O(k^{d-1-1/3+\varepsilon})$ depends on the degree of the polynomial P, and it grows exponentially with it. Therefore, when we want to approach a continuous function by polynomials to prove Theorem 1.1, our control on the remainder becomes much worse. Actually, we believe that, by paying attention to all the constants appearing in the remainders appearing in the proof of Proposition 1.4, it should be possible to obtain that, provided f is regular enough, we have*

$$\langle \mu_k, f\rangle = \frac{\operatorname{Vol}(\partial\Omega)\omega_{d-1}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) d\theta + O\big((\log k)^{-\varepsilon}\big),$$



FIGURE 1. The construction of the scattering map κ.

for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Relation to other works. Since the pioneering works of Birman, Sobolev, and Yafaev (see for example [25, 1]), there has been a wealth of literature on the asymptotic behavior of the scattering matrix at high energy, in particular about the distribution of phase shifts. In semi-classical potential scattering, an analogous result for compactly supported potentials was proven by the first author, Hassell, and Zelditch in [10] for non-trapping potentials, and was generalized to trapping potentials by the second author in [13]. See [10] for a complete literature review of phase shift asymptotics for potential scattering. The behaviour of the phase shifts in the semi-classical limit has been studied in various settings: for magnetic potentials ([2]), for scattering by radially symmetric potentials, in [5], near resonant energies in [20]...

The idea of using trace formulae to analyze the asymptotics of the spectra comes from [27, 28], and was the starting point of [10], [13] and of the present paper. The main tool we use here is the Kirchhoff approximation, which was proven in its optimal form in [19]. Finally, our proof is simplified by describing the micro-local properties of the scattering matrix in terms of its action on Gaussian states, an approach which was introduced in [13] for potential scattering.

Organisation of the paper. In Section 2, we will recall a few facts about the classical scattering dynamics, and its links with the interior billiard dynamics. In Section 3, we will recall the main tools we use in the proof of Proposition 1.4. Proposition 1.4 is then proved in Section 4. Finally, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 in Section 6. The appendix contain elementary facts of semiclassical analysis, a proof of a resolution of identity formula on the sphere, as well as a cumbersome determinant computation.

2. Classical scattering dynamics and interior dynamics

Let $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\eta \in \omega^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We will always identify (ω, η) with a point in $T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Consider the line $L_{(\omega,\eta)} := \{t\omega + \eta, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. By strict convexity of $\partial\Omega$, it intersects $\partial\Omega$ in zero, one or two points. We define the interaction region,

$$\mathcal{I} := \{(\omega, \eta) \in T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}; L_{(\omega,\eta)} \cap \partial\Omega \text{ contains two points}\}. \tag{2.1}$$

If $(\omega, \eta) \in \mathcal{I}$, then there exists $t_1 < t_2$ such that $t_i\omega + \eta \in \partial\Omega$ for $i = 1, 2$, we set (see Figure 1)



FIGURE 2. The scattering map and the billiard map.

$$x(\omega,\eta) := t_1\omega + \eta \in \partial\Omega$$
$$\omega'(\omega,\eta) := \omega - 2(\omega\cdot\nu_{x(\omega,\eta)})\nu$$
$$\eta'(\omega,\eta) := x(\omega,\eta) - (\omega'\cdot x(\omega,\eta))\omega',$$

where ν_x is the outward pointing normal vector at the point $x\in\partial\Omega$. We then set

$$\kappa(\omega,\eta) = (\omega',\eta'). \tag{2.2}$$

If $(\omega,\eta)\notin\mathcal{I}$, we shall set $\kappa(\omega,\eta)=(\omega,\eta)$. The map κ may then be seen as a C^0 map $\kappa : T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\to T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, which is smooth (and even symplectic) away from the glancing set $\partial\Omega$. The fact that interaction region satisfies

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega)\omega_{d-1}$$

follows in a straightforward way from Cauchy's surface area formula.

For $p\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$, we will denote by $\mathcal{P}_p\subset T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ the set of fixed points of κ^p. Note that we then have

$$\mathcal{I} = T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\backslash\mathcal{P}_1,$$

and that $\partial\mathcal{P}_1=\partial\mathcal{I}$ is exactly the 'glancing set', i.e. the set of (ω,η) such that $L_{(\omega,\eta)}\cap\partial\Omega$ consists of a single point. We define

$$\mathcal{P}_p' := \mathcal{P}_p\setminus\mathcal{P}_1, \tag{2.3}$$

the set of non-trivial glancing periodic points with period p, also an invariant subset.

The sets $\mathcal{P}_p'$ will play a central role in our proof, and can be better understood in terms of the periodic points of the interior billiard map, as follows. (Indeed, the relationship between the interior billiard map and the scattering relation is a reflection of the relationship between the interior eigenvalue problem and the phase shifts exemplified in Eckmann-Pillet's inside-outside duality, as pointed out in [6].) Consider the set $\mathcal{O} := \{(y,\xi)\in S^*\partial\Omega;\ \xi\cdot\nu_y<0\}$. If $(y,\xi)\in\mathcal{O}$, there will be a unique $t>0$ such that $y+t\xi\in\partial\Omega$. We shall then write $y'(y,\xi)=y+t\xi$, and $\xi'(y,\xi)=\xi-2(\xi\cdot\nu_{y'})\nu_{y'}$. We have $(x',\xi')\in\mathcal{O}$, and we may define $\kappa_{int}:\mathcal{O}\to\mathcal{O}$ by $\kappa_{int}(x,\xi)=(x',\xi')$. The map κ_{int}, and we shall denote by $\mathcal{P}_p^{int}$ the set of periodic points of period p of κ_{int}.

The following elementary lemma makes explicit the link between κ and κ_{int}, as can be seen on Figure 2.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(\omega,\eta)\in T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\backslash\mathcal{P}_1$. We then have*

$$\kappa_{int}\big((x(\omega,\eta),-\omega\big) = \big(x(\kappa(\omega,\eta),\omega'(\kappa(\omega,\eta))\big).$$

As a consequence of this lemma, we see that $\mathcal{P}_p'$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_p^{int}$.

The volume of the set of fixed points. Let us denote by Vol the (symplectic) volume on $T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. We will always assume that we have

$$\forall p\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\},\ \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{P}_p')=0. \tag{2.4}$$

Let us denote by d the Riemannian distance on $T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. We will often make the following stronger hypothesis.

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\},\ \mathrm{Vol}\big(\{(\omega,\eta) \in T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\backslash\mathcal{P}_1 \text{ such that } d(\kappa^p(\omega,\eta),(\omega,\eta) < \varepsilon)\}\big) = O_{\varepsilon\to 0}(\varepsilon). \tag{2.5}$$

These conditions may of course be rephrased in terms of the dynamics of κ_{int} on $\mathcal{O}$. If $\mu_{\mathcal{O}}$ is any Riemannian volume and $d_{\mathcal{O}}$ is any Riemannian distance on the manifold $\mathcal{O}$, Equation (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\},\ \mu(\mathcal{P}_p^{int}) = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

while Equation (2.5) is equivalent to

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\},\ \mu_{\mathcal{O}}\big(\{(y,\xi) \in \mathcal{O} \text{ such that } d(\kappa_{int}^p(y,\xi),(y,\xi) < \varepsilon)\}\big) = O_{\varepsilon\to 0}(\varepsilon). \tag{2.7}$$

Condition (2.6) is conjectured to hold for all domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, not necessarily convex. This conjecture, known as Ivrii's conjecture, has implications in terms of remainders for the Weyl's law for the eigenvalues of the Laplacian (see [15]). In the generic case, it was shown in [21] that $\mathcal{P}_p^{int}$ is finite for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$, so that (2.6) holds. Actually, the proof of Petkov and Stoyanov shows that the stronger property (2.7) also holds in the generic case. If the manifold $\partial\mathcal{O}$ is analytic, then the map κ_{int} will be analytic, and we can show that (2.7) will hold (see for instance [24]).

3. Tools for the proof of Proposition 1.4

Before proving Proposition 1.4, let us recall a few facts we will need in the proof.

3.1. An integral representation for the scattering amplitude.

The operator $A(k)$ introduced in (1.8) can also be defined as follows. Let $v(\cdot;\xi,k)$ be the unique solutions to

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta + k^2)v &= 0\\ v|_{\partial\Omega} &= -e^{ix\cdot\xi},\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

satisfying the Sommerfeld radiation condition.

v may then be written as

$$v(|x|\omega;\xi,k) = |x|^{-(d-1)/2}e^{ik|x|}a(\omega,\xi,k) + O(|x|^{-(d+1)/2}).$$

One can show (see for instance [11], page 381) that $A(k)$ is given by an integral kernel

$$A(k)f(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} a(\omega,\theta,k)f(\theta)dVol_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}(\theta), \tag{3.2}$$

where a satisfies

$$a(\omega,\theta,k) = \frac{1}{2i}k^{d-2}(2\pi)^{1-d}\int_{\partial\Omega} e^{ik\omega\cdot y}\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}e^{-ik\theta\cdot y}dVol_{\partial\Omega}(y) \tag{3.3}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2i}k^{d-2}(2\pi)^{1-d}\int_{\partial\Omega} e^{-ik\theta\cdot y}\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}v(y,-k\omega)dVol_{\partial\Omega}(y). \tag{3.4}$$

3.2. The Kirchhoff approximation.

The function $\partial_\nu v$ was studied in [19], where the authors write

$$\frac{\partial v(x,-k\omega)}{\partial\nu} = K(\omega,x,k)e^{ikx\cdot\omega}. \tag{3.5}$$

Their main results, or at least what we shall need from them, can be summed up as follows. The definition of the symbol classes S_δ is recalled in (A.1).

Theorem 3.1 (Melrose-Taylor, [19])**.**

$$K(\omega,x,k) = -ik|\nu_x\cdot\omega| + kE(\omega,x,k),$$

where ν_x is the outward pointing normal vector at the point $x \in \partial\Omega$, and where E satisfies

$$E \in k^{-1/3}S_{1/3}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\partial\Omega), \tag{3.6}$$

Furthermore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have that

$$\nu_x\cdot\omega > k^{-1/3+\varepsilon} \Longrightarrow E(\omega,x,k) = O(k^{-\infty}). \tag{3.7}$$

In particular, we have

$$||E(\cdot,\cdot,k)||_{C^0} \leq Ck^{-1/3}. \tag{3.8}$$

We therefore have

$$a(\omega,\theta,k) = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1}\int_{\partial\Omega}\Big(e^{ik(\omega-\theta)\cdot y}(-\nu_y\cdot\theta + |\nu_y\cdot\omega| + E(\omega,y,k))\Big)dVol_{\partial\Omega}(y). \tag{3.9}$$

3.3. **The use of Gaussian states.** Let $(\omega_0,\eta_0)\in T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. We shall write[1]

$$\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}(\omega;k) = \chi\big(k^{1/3}|\omega-\omega_0|\big)e^{-ik\eta_0\cdot\omega}e^{-\frac{k}{2}|\omega-\omega_0|^2}.$$

Note that $\|\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\|_{L^2} = O(k^{(1-d)/4})$. We have that, for $T\in\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}))$ a trace class operator, then

$$\mathrm{Tr}(T) = c_k\int_{T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} d\omega_0 d\eta_0 \langle\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}, A\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$c_k = 2^{-(d-1)/2}\big(k/(2\pi)\big)^{3(d-1)/2} + O_{k\to\infty}(k^{(3d)/2-2}).$$

The proof of this formula, first given in [14] will be recalled in appendix B.

Let d be some Riemannian distance on $T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. For any $\varepsilon>0$, the set

$$G_\varepsilon = \{(\omega,\eta)\in T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}; \mathrm{d}\big((\omega,\eta),\partial\mathcal{P}_1\big) < k^{-1/3+\varepsilon}\} \tag{3.11}$$

has volume $O(k^{(2/3-\varepsilon)(d-1)})$. Therefore, since $\|S(k)\|_{L^2\longrightarrow L^2}=1$, we have

$$I_\varepsilon(k) := c_k\int_{G_\varepsilon} d\omega_0 d\eta_0 \langle\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}, (S(k)-Id)^p\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})} = O(k^{(d-1)(2/3+\varepsilon)})$$

In particular, we have

$$I_\varepsilon(k) = O(k^{d-1-1/3+\varepsilon}). \tag{3.12}$$

The following lemma tells us that the set G_ε is not far from being stable by κ.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\varepsilon>0$. There exists k_ε such that for all $k>k_\varepsilon$, we have*

$$\kappa(G_\varepsilon)\subset G_{2\varepsilon}$$
$$\kappa(T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\backslash G_\varepsilon)\subset T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\backslash G_{\varepsilon/2}.$$

Proof. Let $d\colon \mathcal{I}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}_+$ denote $d(\omega,\eta) = \mathrm{dist}_{T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}((\omega,\eta),\partial\mathcal{I})$, i.e. distance from (ω,η) to the glancing set. The lemma follows if we can establish the existence of a $C>0$ such that

$$C^{-1}d(\omega,\eta)\leq d(\kappa(\omega,\eta)) < Cd(\omega,\eta).$$

Since κ is a homeomorphism of $\mathcal{I}$ to itself, it suffices to find a C for which this holds in a neighborhood of the boundary, and we therefore restrict to a neighborhood on which d is smooth. We will compare d to the function $\widetilde{d}\colon\mathcal{I}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}_+$ defined by

$$\widetilde{d}(\omega,\eta) = \inf_{(\omega,\eta')\in\partial\mathcal{I}}|\eta-\eta'|,$$

i.e. the distance of rays (ω,η) parallel to ω to the part of the glancing set parallel to ω. Note that $\widetilde{d}$ is smooth in a neighborhood of $\partial\mathcal{I}$, vanishes on $\partial\mathcal{I}$ and that moreover the derivative $D\widetilde{d}$ is non-zero on $\partial\mathcal{I}$. If follows that in a neighborhood of the boundary there is a C so that

$$C^{-1}d(\omega,\eta)\leq\widetilde{d}(\omega,\eta) < Cd(\omega,\eta), \tag{3.13}$$

and thus the question reduces to proving

$$C^{-1}\tilde{d}(\omega,\eta)\leq\tilde{d}(\kappa(\omega,\eta)) < C\tilde{d}(\omega,\eta), \tag{3.14}$$

the original inequality for $\widetilde{d}$ instead of d.

Given (ω,η), let $\phi(\omega,\eta)$ denote the impact angle, i.e. the angle that the ray $t\omega+\eta$ makes with the tangent plane $T_q\partial\Omega$ at the first point of impact q. We claim that there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$C^{-1}\phi(\omega,\eta)^2 < \widetilde{d}(\omega,\eta) < C\phi(\omega,\eta)^2. \tag{3.15}$$

[1]The χ is not very important here, and we could take another power of k in it. It is just here to ensure that the integral in (3.10) makes sense.



FIGURE 3. How to go from (3.15) to (3.14).

This inequality implies (3.14) for the following reason, which is illustrated in Figure 3. Let us write $(\omega', \eta') := \kappa(\omega, \eta)$, and $(\omega'', \eta'') := (-\omega', \eta')$. We then have $\tilde{d}(\omega'', \eta'') = \tilde{d}(\omega', \eta')$. But, by (3.15), we have that $\tilde{d}(\omega'', \eta'')$ is equivalent to $\phi(\kappa(\omega'', \eta''))^2$, which is equal to $\phi(\kappa(\omega, \eta))^2$. Therefore, using (3.15 once more, we have that $\tilde{d}(\omega'', \eta'')$, and hence $\tilde{d}(\kappa(\omega, \eta))$ is equivalent to $\tilde{d}(\omega, \eta)$.

We argue by comparison to parabolas. Indeed, assume without loss of generality, e.g. acting by a matrix in $O(d)$, that (ω, η) is incident at a point $q = (x_0', x_0) \in \mathbb{R}_d = \mathbb{R}^{d-1}_{x'} \times \mathbb{R}_x$ with $x_0 = \max_{(x', x) \in \partial\Omega} x$, we write $\partial\Omega$ locally a graph $g(x') = x$ with maximum x_0 at x_0'. Then the curvature condition on $\partial\Omega$ implies that the Hessian satisfies $-r_1 \leq \partial^2 g \leq -r_2$ for some $r_1 \geq r_2 > 0$. Then for any $1 > \delta > 0$, $-(1+\delta) r_1 |x' - x_0'|^2 \leq g \leq -r_2/(1-\delta)|x' - x_0'|^2$ for (x', x) sufficiently close to (x_0', x_0). For $\phi(\omega, \eta)$ sufficiently small, the point $\overline{q}$ in the glancing set of ω closest to the line $t\omega + \eta$ is bounded in distance by the corresponding glancing points of these two parabolas, and it is straightforward to check that one can obtain (3.15) by taking δ small. □

3.4. **A useful change of variables.** For any fixed $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, consider the map

$$\pi_{\omega_0} : \partial\Omega \ni y \mapsto y - (y \cdot \omega_0)\omega_0 \in \omega_0^\perp,$$

and the glancing, illuminated and shadow sets

$$\begin{aligned} Y^0_{\omega_0} &:= \{y \in \partial\Omega; \nu_y \cdot \omega_0 = 0\} \\ Y^-_{\omega_0} &:= \{y \in \partial\Omega; \nu_y \cdot \omega_0 < 0\} \\ Y^+_{\omega_0} &:= \{y \in \partial\Omega; \nu_y \cdot \omega_0 > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\pi_{\omega_0}(Y^-_{\omega_0}) = \pi_{\omega_0}(Y^+_{\omega_0}) =: Z_{\omega_0}$, and that π_{ω_0} is a diffeomorphism from $Y^-_{\omega_0}$ to Z_{ω_0}, and from $Y^+_{\omega_0}$ to Z_{ω_0}. Let us denote by $y^- = y^-_{\omega_0}$ and $y^+ = y^+_{\omega_0}$ its respective inverses. For any $z \in Z_{\omega_0}$, we have

$$\left| \det \left(\frac{\partial y^\pm(z)}{\partial z} \right) \right| = |\omega_0 \cdot \nu_{y^\pm(z)}|^{-1}.$$

4. PROOF OF THE PROPOSITION 1.4

First of all, let us note that it is enough to show the result for $p > 0$. Indeed, if we show that we have

$$\operatorname{Tr} P(S(k)) = \operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{I}) \left(\frac{k}{2\pi} \right)^{d-1} + O(k^{d-1-1/3+\varepsilon}) \tag{4.1}$$

whenever P is a polynomial of the form $P(X) = (X - Id)^p$, then by linearity, we have the result for any polynomial P vanishing at 1. Now, since $S(k)$ is unitary, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}\left(S(k)^{-p} - Id\right) &= \sum_n \langle e_n, (S(k)^{-p} - Id)e_n\rangle \quad \text{for any orthonormal basis } (e_n)\\ &= \sum_n \langle (S(k)^p - Id)e_n, e_n\rangle\\ &= \mathrm{Tr}(S(k)^p - Id),\end{aligned}$$

so that (4.1) holds when $P(X) = X^p - Id$ for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$, and, by linearity, for any trigonometric polynomial.

Therefore, let us fix from now on $p \geq 1$.

We have

$$\big(A^p(k)\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\big)(\alpha_p) = \Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1}\Big)^p \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^p}\int_{(\partial\Omega)^p} e^{ik\Phi_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y})} a_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y})\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y},$$

where

$$\Phi_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}) := \frac{i}{2}|\alpha_0 - \omega_0|^2 + \alpha_0\cdot\eta_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}(\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1})\cdot y_i, \tag{4.2}$$

$$a_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}) := \chi(k^{1/3}|\alpha_0 - \omega_0|)\prod_{i=0}^{p-1}\big(|\nu_{y_i}\cdot\alpha_i| - \nu_{y_i}\cdot\alpha_{i+1} + E(\alpha_i,y_i,k)\big). \tag{4.3}$$

Let us fix $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$, such that $\chi(t) = 1$ if $t \leq 1$, and $\chi(t) = 0$ if $t \geq 2$, and let us write

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{y}) := \prod_{j=0}^{p-1}\big(1 - \chi\big(k^{1/3}|\nu_{y_j}\cdot\alpha_j|\big)\big). \tag{4.4}$$

We shall write

$$\begin{aligned}A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{glan}(\alpha_p) &:= \Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1}\Big)^p \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^p}\int_{(\partial\Omega)^p} e^{ik\Phi_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y})}\big(1-\rho(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{y})\big)a_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y})\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}\\ A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{nonglan}(\alpha_p) &:= \Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1}\Big)^p \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^p}\int_{(\partial\Omega)^p} e^{ik\Phi_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y})}\rho(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{y})a_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y})\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y},\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\big(A^p(k)\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\big)(\alpha_p) = A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{glan}(\alpha_p) + A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{nonglan}(\alpha_p).$$

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $(\omega_0,\eta_0) \in T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\backslash G_\varepsilon$, with $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. We then have*

$$\|A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{glan}\|_{C^0} = O(k^{-\infty}).$$

We shall prove this lemma in Section 5.2. Let us now describe the critical set of the phase Φ_p.

The following lemma is elementary, and its result is depicted on Figure 4.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(\alpha,\eta) \in \mathcal{I}$. Then there are exactly four points $(\alpha',y') \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}\times\partial\Omega$ which satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}\eta - y' &\in \mathbb{R}\alpha,\\ \alpha - \alpha' &\in \mathbb{R}\nu_{y'}.\end{aligned}$$

We shall denote them by $(\alpha',y') =: \tau^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(\alpha,\eta) =: \big(\alpha^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(\alpha,\eta), y^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(\alpha,\eta)\big)$, where $\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2 \in \{\pm\}$, and they are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_1 = + &\Longrightarrow \nu_{y'}\cdot\alpha > 0\\ \epsilon_1 = - &\Longrightarrow \nu_{y'}\cdot\alpha < 0\\ \epsilon_2 = + &\Longrightarrow |\alpha' - \alpha| = |\nu_{y'}\cdot\alpha| > 0\\ \epsilon_2 = - &\Longrightarrow \alpha' = \alpha.\end{aligned}$$

In any case, we have

$$|\alpha'\cdot\nu_{y'}| = |\alpha\cdot\nu_{y'}|. \tag{4.5}$$



FIGURE 4. The solutions to (4.5).

Furthermore, let us write

$$\tilde{\kappa}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(\alpha,\eta) := \big(\alpha', \pi_{\alpha'}(y')\big).$$

Then for all (α,η), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\kappa}^{\pm,-}(\alpha,\eta) &= (\alpha,\eta) \\ \tilde{\kappa}^{-,+}(\alpha,\eta) &= \kappa(\alpha,\eta) \\ \tilde{\kappa}^{+,+}(\alpha,\eta) &= \kappa^{-1}(\alpha,\eta), \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

with κ as in (2.2).

For all $(\alpha, y) \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \times \partial\Omega$ such that $\alpha \cdot \nu_y \neq 0$, we shall write

$$\tilde{\tau}^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(\alpha, y) := \tau^{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}(\alpha, \pi_\alpha(y)).$$

We shall write

$$\Sigma_p := \big(\{+,-\} \times \{+,-\}\big)^p.$$

If $\sigma = (\sigma_0, ..., \sigma_{p-1}) \in \Sigma_p$, we will write

$$\tilde{\kappa}^\sigma := \tilde{\kappa}^{\sigma_{p-1}} \circ ... \circ \tilde{\kappa}^{\sigma_0}. \tag{4.7}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(\omega_0, \eta_0) \in \mathcal{I}$, and let $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$. There exists a unique $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\sigma, \alpha_p^\sigma, \boldsymbol{y}^\sigma)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0^\sigma &= \omega_0^\sigma \\ (\alpha_1^\sigma, y_0^\sigma) &= \tau^{\sigma_0}(\alpha_0^\sigma, \eta_0) \\ \forall k = 1, ..., p-1, \ \ (\alpha_{k+1}^\sigma, y_k^\sigma) &= \tilde{\tau}^{\sigma_k}(\alpha_k^\sigma, y_k^\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \alpha_p, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \big(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\big)^{p+1} \times \big(\partial\Omega\big)^p; \Phi_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \alpha_p, \boldsymbol{y}) = 0\} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\sigma, \alpha_p^\sigma, \boldsymbol{y}^\sigma).$$

Furthermore, for each $\sigma \in \Sigma_p$, we have $\partial\Phi_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\sigma, \alpha_p^\sigma, \boldsymbol{y}^\sigma) = 0$ if and only if we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_p &= \omega_0 \\ \eta_0 &= \pi_{\omega_p}(y_{p-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that this condition is equivalent to

$$(\omega_0, \eta_0) = \tilde{\kappa}^\sigma(\omega_0, \eta_0). \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. Clearly $\alpha_0 = \alpha_p = \omega_0$. The criticality condition for α_0 and α_p give that $y_0, y_{p-1} \in \mathbb{R}\omega_0 - \eta_0$, and the other equations in $\partial\Phi = 0$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1} \in \mathbb{R}\nu_{y_i}, \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, p-1, \\ y_{i-1} - y_i \in \mathbb{R}\alpha_i, \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, p-2 \end{aligned}$$

The lemma then follows from Lemma 4.2. □

In the sequel, we shall write

$$\sigma_0 := (-, -, -, ..., -).$$

Thanks to (4.5) and to (4.4), we see that if $(\omega_0, \eta_0) \in \mathcal{I}\backslash G_\varepsilon$ and $\sigma \neq \sigma' \in \Sigma_p$, then if d_p is a Riemanian distance on $\big(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\big)^{p+1} \times \big(\partial\Omega\big)^p$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\mathrm{d}\Big((\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\sigma, \alpha_p^\sigma, \boldsymbol{y}^\sigma), (\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\sigma'}, \alpha_p^{\sigma'}, \boldsymbol{y}^{\sigma'})\Big) \geq Ck^{-1/3}.$$

We may therefore build a family of functions $\chi_\sigma \in S_{1/3}\big(\big(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\big)^{p+1} \times \big(\partial\Omega\big)^p\big)$ such that there exists $c > 0$ satisfying

$$\forall \sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma_p, \forall x \in \big(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\big)^{p+1} \times \big(\partial\Omega\big)^p, \mathrm{d}_p(x, x_\sigma) < ck^{-1/3} \Longrightarrow \chi_{\sigma'}(x) = \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'},$$

and such that

$$\forall \sigma = (\pm, \bullet) \in \Sigma_p, \forall (\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \alpha_p, \boldsymbol{y}^\sigma) \in \mathrm{spt}\chi_\sigma, \ \forall i = 0, ..., p-1, y_i \in Y^\pm_{\alpha_i^\sigma}, \tag{4.9}$$

where $Y^\pm_{\alpha_i}$ is as in Section 3.4.

In particular, if $(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \alpha_p, \boldsymbol{y}^\sigma) \in \mathrm{spt}\chi_{\sigma_0}$, then $y_i \in Y^-_{\omega_0}$ for all i.

We then set

$$\chi_\infty = 1 - \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p} \chi_\sigma.$$

We shall then write, for each $\sigma \in \Sigma_p \cup \{\infty\}$

$$A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_\sigma(\alpha_p) := \Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1}\Big)^p \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^p} \int_{(\partial\Omega)^p} e^{ik\Phi_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \alpha_p, \boldsymbol{y})}\big(1 - \rho(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{y})\big)\chi_\sigma(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{y}) a_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \alpha_p, \boldsymbol{y}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}.$$

Lemma A.1 implies that $A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_\infty = O(k^{-\infty})$, so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}((S(k) - Id)^p) = c_k \int_{\mathcal{I}\backslash G_\varepsilon} d\omega_0 d\eta_0 \langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{\sigma_0}, \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle + \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_p \backslash \{\sigma_0\}} c_k \int_{\mathcal{I}\backslash G_\varepsilon} d\omega_0 d\eta_0 \langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{\sigma_0}, \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle \\ + c_k \int_{\mathcal{I}\backslash G_\varepsilon} d\omega_0 d\eta_0 \langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{glan}, \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle \\ + c_k \int_{T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\backslash(\mathcal{I}\cup G_\varepsilon)} d\omega_0 d\eta_0 \langle \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}, (S(k) - Id)^p \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle \\ + c_k \int_{G_\varepsilon} d\omega_0 d\eta_0 \langle \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}, (S(k) - Id)^p \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle + O(k^{-\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Proposition 1.4 then follows from the following three lemmas, as well as Lemma 4.1 and equation (3.12).

Lemma 4.4. *We have*

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}_1\backslash G_\varepsilon} d\omega_0 d\eta_0 \langle \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}, (S(k) - Id)^p \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle = O(k^{-\infty}).$$

Proof. Let $(\omega_0, \eta_0) \in \mathcal{P}_1\backslash G_\varepsilon$. From (4.2), we see that $|\partial_{\alpha_0}\Phi_p| = |\pi_{\alpha_0}(\eta_0 - y_0)| + |\alpha_0 - \omega_0| \geq C\mathrm{d}((\omega_0, \eta_0), \mathcal{I})$, where d is the Riemannian distance on $T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Therefore, Lemma A.1 implies that we have

$$A^p(k)\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0} = O\big(\big(k\mathrm{d}((\omega_0, \eta_0)\big)^{-\infty}\big).$$

The lemma follows. □

The following two lemmas will be proven in sections 5.3 and 5.1 respectively.

Lemma 4.5. *For any $\sigma \in \Sigma_p \backslash \{\sigma_0\}$, we have*

$$c_k \int_{\mathcal{I}\backslash G_\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}\omega_0 \mathrm{d}\eta_0 \langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{\sigma}, \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle = O(k^{d-1-1/3+\varepsilon}).$$

Lemma 4.6.

$$c_k \int_{\mathcal{I}\backslash G_\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}\omega_0 \mathrm{d}\eta_0 \langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{\sigma_0}, \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle = (-1)^p \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1} \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{I}) + O(k^{d-1-1/3}).$$

5. Proofs of the technical lemmas

5.1. **Computation of the major contribution: Proof of Lemma 4.6.** Recall that we have

$$\langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{\sigma_0}, \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle = \Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1}\Big)^p \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^{p+1}} \int_{(\partial\Omega)^p} e^{ik\tilde{\Phi}_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y})} \tilde{a}_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathrm{d}\alpha_p\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Phi}_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}) &:= \frac{i}{2}|\alpha_0-\omega_0|^2 + \frac{i}{2}|\alpha_p-\omega_0|^2 + (\alpha_0-\alpha_p)\cdot\eta_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}(\alpha_i-\alpha_{i+1})\cdot y_i,\\
\tilde{a}_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}) &:= \big(1-\rho(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{y})\big)\chi_\sigma(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{y})\chi(k^{1/3}|\alpha_0-\omega_0|)\chi(k^{1/3}|\alpha_p-\omega_0|)\\
&\qquad \prod_{i=0}^{p-1}\big(|\nu_{y_i}\cdot\alpha_i| - \nu_{y_i}\cdot\alpha_{i+1} + E(\alpha_i,y_i,k)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (4.9), we may write

$$\langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{\sigma_0}, \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle = \Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1}\Big)^p \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^{p+1}} \int_{Y^-_{\omega_0}} \dots \int_{Y^-_{\omega_0}} e^{ik\tilde{\Phi}_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y})} \tilde{a}_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathrm{d}\alpha_p\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}.$$

We may then carry out p times the changes of variables y^- to obtain

$$\langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{\sigma_0}, \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle = \Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1}\Big)^p \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^{p+1}} \int_{(Z_{\omega_0})^p} e^{ik\tilde{\Phi}^-_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z})} \tilde{a}^-_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,z)) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathrm{d}\alpha_p\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z} + O(k^{-\infty}).$$

Here, we wrote $\boldsymbol{y}^-(\boldsymbol{z}) := (y^-(z_0),...,y^-(z_{p-1}))$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Phi}^-_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z}) &:= \tilde{\Phi}_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}^-(\boldsymbol{z}))\\
\tilde{a}^-_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z})) &:= \frac{\tilde{a}_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}^-(\boldsymbol{z}))}{|\alpha_0\cdot\nu_{y^-(z_0)}|...|\alpha_{p-1}\cdot\nu_{y^-(z_{p-1})}|}\\
&= \tilde{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}^-(\boldsymbol{z}))\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{p-1}\big(|\nu_{y^-(z_i)}\cdot\alpha_i| - \nu_{y^\sigma(z_i)}\cdot\alpha_{i+1} + E(\alpha_i,y^-(z_i),k)\big)}{|\alpha_0\cdot\nu_{y^-(z_0)}|...|\alpha_{p-1}\cdot\nu_{y^-(z_{p-1})}|},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}) = \big(1-\rho(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{y})\big)\chi_{\sigma_0}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{y})\chi(k^{1/3}|\alpha_0-\omega_0|)\chi(k^{1/3}|\alpha_p-\omega_0|)$.

Note that we have

$$\tilde{a}^-_p \in S_{1/3}.$$

Define the *critical set* as

$$\mathcal{C}^-_p := \{(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z}) \in (\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^{p+1}\times(Z_{\omega_0})^p; \partial\tilde{\Phi}^-_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}^-(\boldsymbol{z})) = 0 \text{ and } \Im\tilde{\Phi}^-_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}^-(\boldsymbol{z})) = 0\}.$$

By Lemma 4.3, we have that $\mathcal{C}^-_p = \{(\omega_0,...,\omega_0,\eta_0,...,\eta_0)\}$.

Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Phi}^-_p(\omega_0,...,\omega_0,\eta_0,...,\eta_0) &= 0\\
\tilde{a}^-_p(\omega_0,...,\omega_0,\eta_0,...,\eta_0) &= \frac{\big(2\nu_{y^-}(\eta_0)\cdot\omega_0 + E(\omega_0,y^-(\eta_0),k)\big)^p}{|\nu_{y^-(\eta_0)}\cdot\omega_0|^p}\\
&= 2^p + R_p(\omega_0,\eta_0),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$R_p(\omega_0,\eta_0) = \sum_{l=1}^{p} C^p_l \frac{E(\omega_0,y^-(\eta_0),k)^l}{|\nu_{y^-(\eta_0)}\cdot\omega_0|^l}.$$

Computation of the Hessian.

Lemma 5.1.

$$\det \partial^2 \tilde{\Phi}_p^-(\omega_0, ..., \omega_0, \eta_0, ..., \eta_0) = (2i)^{d-1}(-1)^{p(d-1)}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\Phi}_p^-}{\partial z_i \partial z_{i'}} = (\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 y^{\beta_i}}{\partial z_i \partial z_{i'}},$$

which vanishes at $(\omega_0, ..., \omega_0, \eta_0, ...\eta_0)$. We also have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\Phi}_p^-}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_{i'}}(\omega_0, ..., \omega_0, \boldsymbol{z}_\beta) = \delta_{i=0}\big(iId + B\big) + \delta_{i'=p}\big(iId - B\big),$$

where B is the matrix $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2}\big(\alpha \cdot y^-(\nu_0)\big)$.

For $i = 0, ..., p$, $i' = 0, ..., p-1$, let us define the matrix

$$M^{i,i'} = \Big(\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{\Phi}_p^\beta}{\partial \alpha_{i,j} \partial z_{i',j'}}(\omega_0, ..., \omega_0, \eta_0, ..., \eta_0)\Big)_{1 \leq j,j' \leq d-1}.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, we have $M^{i,i'} = 0$ unless $i' = i$ or $i' = i-1$. We also have $M^{0,i} = 0$ if $i \neq 0$, and $M^{p,i} = 0$ unless $i = p-1$. For $0 \leq i \leq p-1$, we have $M^{i,i} = \mathbf{1}_{d-1}$, while $M^{i,i-1} = -\mathbf{1}_{d-1}$.

All in all, $\partial^2 \tilde{\Phi}_p^\beta(\omega_0, ..., \omega_0, \eta_0, ..., \eta_0)$ can be written as follows, with $(2p+1)^2$ blocks of size $d' = d-1$.

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 & & & & & & \mathbf{1}_{d'} & -\mathbf{1}_{d'} & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & & & & & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{d'} & -\mathbf{1}_{d'} & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 & & \mathbf{0}_{d'p \times d'p} & & & & & & & ... & & & \\
 & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & \mathbf{1}_{d'} & -\mathbf{1}_{d'} & 0 \\
 & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & \mathbf{1}_{d'} & -\mathbf{1}_{d'} \\
\mathbf{1}_{d'} & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & i\mathbf{1}_{d'} + B & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\mathbf{1}_{d'} & \mathbf{1}_{d'} & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & \\
0 & -\mathbf{1}_{d'} & \mathbf{1}_{d'} & ... & 0 & 0 & & & & \mathbf{0}_{d'(p+1) \times d'(p-1)} & & & \\
 & & & ... & & & & & & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & ... & -\mathbf{1}_{d'} & \mathbf{1}_{d'} & & & & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & -\mathbf{1}_{d'} & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 & 0 & i\mathbf{1}_{d'} - B
\end{pmatrix}.$$

The proof then follows from Lemma C.1. □

Lemma 5.1 tells us that $\det\big(i^{-1}\partial^2 \tilde{\Phi}_p^\beta(\omega_0, ..., \omega_0, \eta_0, ..., \eta_0)\big) = 2^{d-1}$. Therefore, using Lemma A.2 combined with (5.1), we get that

$$T^p_{diag}(\omega_0, \eta_0, k) = \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{(d-1)(2p+1)/2} 2^{(d-1)/2}\big(2^p + R_p(\omega_0, \eta_0)\big) + O\big(k^{-(d-1)(2p+1)/2 - 1/3}\big).$$

All in all, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_k \int_{\mathcal{I} \setminus G_\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}\omega_0 \mathrm{d}\eta_0 \langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{\sigma_0}, \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle \\
&= (-1)^p \Big(2^{-(d-1)/2}\big(k/(2\pi)\big)^{3(d-1)/2}(\frac{1}{2}k^{d-1}(2\pi)^{1-d})^p \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{(d-1)(2p+1)/2} 2^p \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{I}) 2^{(d-1)/2}\Big)\Big(1 + O(k^{-1/3})\Big) \\
&= (-1)^p \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1} \mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{I}) + O(k^{d-1-1/3}).
\end{aligned}$$

5.2. **Ruling out the glancing rays: proof of Lemma 4.1.** Let us define the operator S_{glan} by

$$\big(S_{glan}\big)f(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi\big(k^{1/6}|\nu_y \cdot \alpha|\big) f(\alpha) a(\alpha, \theta, k) \mathrm{d}\alpha \mathrm{d}y,$$

where $a(\alpha, \theta, k)$ is the scattering amplitude as in (3.9).

We shall also write

$$\big(S_{nonglan} f\big)(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \big(1 - \chi\big(k^{1/6}|\nu_y \cdot \alpha|\big)\big) f(\alpha) a(\alpha, \theta, k) \mathrm{d}\alpha \mathrm{d}y,$$

so that

$$S(k) - Id = S_{glan} + S_{nonglan}.$$

In particular, we have that

$$(S_{nonglan})^p \phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0} = A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{nonglan}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough, and let $(\omega_0, \eta_0) \in T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \backslash G_\varepsilon$. Then*

$$\|S_{glan}\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\| = O(k^{-\infty}).$$

Proof. We have

$$S_{glan}\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}(\theta) = f_1(\theta) + f_2(\theta),$$

where

$$f_1(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{ik(\alpha-\theta)\cdot y} \chi\big(k^{1/6}|\nu_y\cdot\alpha|\big)\chi\big(k^{1/3}|\alpha-\omega_0|\big) e^{-ik\eta_0\cdot\alpha} e^{-\frac{k}{2}|\alpha-\omega_0|^2} \big(-\nu_y\cdot\theta + E(\alpha,y,k)\big) \mathrm{d}\alpha \mathrm{d}y$$

and

$$f_2(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} e^{ik(\alpha-\theta)\cdot y} \chi\big(k^{1/6}|\nu_y\cdot\alpha|\big)\chi\big(k^{1/3}|\alpha-\omega_0|\big) e^{-ik\eta_0\cdot\alpha} e^{-\frac{k}{2}|\alpha-\omega_0|^2} |\nu_y\cdot\alpha| \mathrm{d}\alpha \mathrm{d}y$$

f_1 is an oscillatory integral, with an amplitude

$$\chi\big(k^{1/6}|\nu_y\cdot\alpha|\big)\chi\big(k^{1/6}|\alpha-\omega_0|\big)\big(-\nu_y\cdot\theta + E(\alpha,y,k)\big) \in S_{1/3},$$

and a phase

$$\varphi(\alpha, y; \theta, \omega_0, \eta_0) = \frac{i}{2}|\alpha-\omega_0|^2 + \alpha\cdot\eta_0 + (\theta-\alpha)\cdot y.$$

We have $|\partial_\alpha \Re\varphi| = |\pi_\alpha(y) - \eta_0|$, with π_α as in Section 3.4. By the assumption we made on (ω_0, η_0), we have $|\partial_\alpha \Re\varphi| \geq ck^{-1/3}$ for some $c > 0$. Therefore, we may use Lemma A.1 to obtain that $f_1 = O(k^{-\infty})$.

To deal with f_2, we may use the changes of variables $y^\pm$ introduced above, to write

$$f_2(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1} \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{Z^\epsilon} e^{ik(\alpha-\theta)\cdot y^\pm(z)} \chi\big(k^{1/6}|\nu_{y^\pm(z)}\cdot\alpha|\big)\chi\big(k^{1/3}|\alpha-\omega_0|\big) e^{-ik\eta_0\cdot\alpha} e^{-\frac{k}{2}|\alpha-\omega_0|^2} \mathrm{d}\alpha \mathrm{d}z.$$

Each of the integrals $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} e^{ik(\alpha-\theta)\cdot y^\pm(z)} \chi\big(k^{1/6}|\nu_{y^\pm(z)}\cdot\alpha|\big)\chi\big(k^{1/3}|\alpha-\omega_0|\big) e^{-ik\eta_0\cdot\alpha} e^{-\frac{k}{2}|\alpha-\omega_0|^2} \mathrm{d}\alpha$ can be seen as an oscillatory integral with a parameter $z \in Z^\pm$. The amplitude $\alpha \mapsto \chi\big(k^{1/6}|\nu_{y^\pm(z)}\cdot\alpha|\big)\chi\big(k^{1/3}|\alpha-\omega_0|\big)$ is in $S_{1/3}$, with bounds on the semi-norms independent of the point $z \in Z^\pm$, while the phase $\varphi(\alpha; z, \theta) = (\alpha-\theta)\cdot y^\pm(z) + \eta_0\cdot\alpha - \frac{i}{2}|\alpha-\omega_0|^2$ satisfies $|\partial_\alpha \Re\varphi| \geq ck^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$, with a constant c independent of the point z in the support of the amplitude. We may therefore apply the non-stationary phase lemma A.1 to conclude. □

Corollary 5.3. *Let $(\omega_0, \eta_0) \in T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \backslash G_{4\varepsilon}$, and $(\omega_1, \eta_1) \in G_\varepsilon$. We then have*

$$\langle \phi_{\omega_1,\eta_1}, (S(k) - Id)\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0} \rangle = O(k^{-\infty}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we have that $\kappa(\omega_0, \eta_0) \in T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1} \backslash G_{2\varepsilon}$, so that

$$\mathrm{d}(\kappa(\omega_0, \eta_0), (\omega_1, \eta_1)) > k^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2}. \tag{5.2}$$

By the preceding lemma, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \phi_{\omega_1,\eta_1}, (S(k) - Id)\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0} \rangle = \langle \phi_{\omega_1,\eta_1}, S_{nonglan}\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0} \rangle + O(k^{-\infty}) \\
&= \int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \big(1 - \chi\big(k^{1/3}|\nu_y\cdot\alpha_0|\big)\big) a(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, y; k) e^{ik\varphi(\alpha_0,\alpha_1,y)} \mathrm{d}\alpha_0 \mathrm{d}\alpha_1 \mathrm{d}y + O(k^{-\infty}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, y) = \frac{i}{2}|\alpha_0 - \omega_0|^2 + \frac{i}{2}|\alpha_1 - \omega_1|^2 + (\eta_1 - y)\cdot\alpha_1 + (y - \eta_0)\cdot\alpha_0$.

By (5.2), we have that $|\partial\varphi| \geq k^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2}$, and the corollary follows from Lemma A.1. □

We may now prove Lemma 4.1, which follows from (5.4) below.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $(\omega_0,\eta_0)\in T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\backslash G_\varepsilon$. For any $p\geq 1$, we have*

$$\forall(\omega_1,\eta_1)\in G_{2^{-p-1}\varepsilon},\langle\phi_{\omega_1,\eta_1},(S(k)-Id)^p\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}=O(k^{-\infty}) \tag{5.3}$$

$$(S(k)-Id)^p\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}=S^p_{nonglan}\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}+O(k^{-\infty}). \tag{5.4}$$

In particular, we have

$$\|A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_{glan}\|_{C^0}=O(k^{-\infty}).$$

Proof. Let us prove (5.3) and (5.4) by induction. Lemma 5.2 and Corollary 5.3 imply that (5.3) and (5.4) are satisfied for $p=1$. Suppose that they are satisfied for some $p\geq 1$.

By Lemma B.1, we know that $(S(k)-Id)^{p-1}\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}$ can be written as $\int_{T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}f_p(\omega,\eta;k)\phi_{\omega,\eta}\mathrm{d}\omega\mathrm{d}\theta$ for a certain function f_p. Now, (5.3) implies that $f_p(\omega,\eta;k)=O(k^{-\infty})$ for $(\omega,\eta)\in G_{2^{-p}\varepsilon}$.

We therefore have

$$(S(k)-Id)^p\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}=\int_{T^*\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\backslash G_{2^{-p}\varepsilon}}f_p(\omega,\eta)(S(k)-Id)\phi_{\omega,\eta}. \tag{5.5}$$

We then deduce (5.3) and (5.4) by applying Lemma 5.2 and Corollary 5.3. □

5.3. **Proof of Lemma 4.5.** Recall that the statement of Lemma 4.5 is the following.

Lemma 5.5. *For any $\sigma\in\Sigma_p\backslash\{\sigma_0\}$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, we have*

$$c_k\int_{\mathcal{I}\backslash G_\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}\omega_0\mathrm{d}\eta_0\langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_\sigma,\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle=O_\varepsilon(k^{d-1-1/3+\varepsilon}).$$

Proof. For each $i=0,...,p-1$, if $\sigma_i=(\pm,\bullet)$, we set $\beta_i=\pm$. By (4.9), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_\sigma(\alpha_p)&=\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1}\Big)^p\int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^p}\int_{Y^{\beta_0}}...\int_{Y^{\beta_{p-1}}}e^{ik\Phi_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y})}\big(1-\rho(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{y})\big)\chi_\sigma(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{y})a_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y})\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}\\&=\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1}\Big)^p\int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^p}\int_{Z^\sigma_{\alpha_0}}...\int_{Z^\sigma_{\alpha_{p-1}}}e^{ik\Phi^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z})}\tilde{a}^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,z))\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}\end{aligned}$$

Here, we wrote $\boldsymbol{y}^\sigma(\boldsymbol{z}):=(y^{\beta_0}_{\alpha_0}(z_0),...,y^{\beta_{p-1}}_{\alpha_{p-1}}(z_{p-1}))$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z})&:=\Phi_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}^\sigma(\boldsymbol{z}))\\&=\frac{i}{2}|\alpha_0-\omega_0|^2+\alpha_0\cdot\eta_0+\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}(\alpha_i-\alpha_{i+1})\cdot y^\sigma_i(z_i),\\\tilde{a}^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z}))&:=\frac{\tilde{a}_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}^\sigma(\boldsymbol{z}))}{|\alpha_0\cdot\nu_{y^\sigma(z_0)}|...|\alpha_{p-1}\cdot\nu_{y^\sigma(z_{p-1})}|}\\&=\tilde{\chi}_\sigma(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z})\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{p-1}\big(|\nu_{y^\sigma_i(z_i)}\cdot\alpha_i|-\nu_{y^\sigma_i(z_i)}\cdot\alpha_{i+1}+E(\alpha_i,y^\sigma_i(z_i),k)\big)}{|\alpha_0\cdot\nu_{y^\sigma(z_0)}|...|\alpha_{p-1}\cdot\nu_{y^\sigma(z_{p-1})}|},\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_\sigma(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{y}^\sigma(\boldsymbol{z}))=\big(1-\rho(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{y}^\sigma(\boldsymbol{z}))\big)\chi_\sigma(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{y}^\sigma(\boldsymbol{z}))\chi(k^{1/3}|\alpha_0-\omega_0|)\chi(k^{1/3}|\alpha_p-\omega_0|)$.

Note that we have

$$\tilde{a}^\sigma_p\in S_{1/3}.$$

Let us write $\boldsymbol{z}^\sigma$ for $(\pi_{\alpha^\sigma_j}(y^\sigma_j))_{j=0,...,p-1}$. Note that, if d denotes the Riemannian distance on $(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^{p+1}\times(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})^p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\forall\varepsilon>0,\forall(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z})\in\mathrm{spt}\tilde{\chi}_\sigma,\forall k\text{ large enough}\\&\mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z}),(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\sigma,\alpha^\sigma_p,\boldsymbol{z}^\sigma))>k^{-1/2+\varepsilon}\Longrightarrow|\partial\Phi^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z})|>k^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2p}}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

If we write $A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_\sigma(\alpha_p)=\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1}\Big)^p\big(A^1_\sigma(\alpha_p)+A^2_\sigma(\sigma_p)\big)$ with

$$A^1_\sigma(\alpha_p):=\int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^p}\int_{Z^\sigma_{\alpha_0}}...\int_{Z^\sigma_{\alpha^\sigma_{p-1}}}\chi\big(k^{1/2-\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z}),(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\sigma,\alpha^\sigma_p,\boldsymbol{z}^\sigma)\big)\big)e^{ik\Phi^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z})}\tilde{a}^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,z))\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z}$$

$$A^2_\sigma(\alpha_p):=\int_{(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})^p}\int_{Z^\sigma_{\alpha_0}}...\int_{Z^\sigma_{\alpha^\sigma_{p-1}}}\big(1-\chi\big(k^{1/2-\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z}),(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\sigma,\alpha^\sigma_p,\boldsymbol{z}^\sigma)\big)\big)\big)e^{ik\Phi^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z})}\tilde{a}^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,z))\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{z},$$

then (5.6) and Lemma A.1 implies that $A^2_\sigma = O(k^{-\infty})$.

On the other hand, the integrand in A^1_σ is supported in a set of volume $k^{(-1/2+\varepsilon)2(d-1)p}$. Therefore, there exists $C, c > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_k\|A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_\sigma\|_{C^0} &\leq Ck^{(-1/2+\varepsilon)2(d-1)p}k^{\frac{3}{2}(d-1)}k^{p(d-1)}\|\chi\big(k^{1/2-\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z}),(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\sigma,\alpha_p^\sigma,\boldsymbol{z}^\sigma)\big)\big)\tilde{a}^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,z))\|_{C_0} \\ &\leq Ck^{\frac{3}{2}(d-1)+c\varepsilon}\|\chi\big(k^{1/2-\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z}),(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\sigma,\alpha_p^\sigma,\boldsymbol{z}^\sigma)\big)\big)\tilde{a}^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,z))\|_{C_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us first prove the lemma when there exists $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ such that $\sigma_i = (+,\bullet)$. By assumption, we then have that $\nu_{y_i^\sigma(z_i)} \cdot \alpha_i^\sigma > 0$.

Recall that the function $\tilde{a}^\sigma_p$ can be written as

$$\frac{|\nu_{y_i^\sigma(z_i)} \cdot \alpha_i| - \nu_{y_i^\sigma(z_i)} \cdot \alpha_{i+1} + E(\alpha_i, y_i^\sigma(z_i), k)}{|\nu_{y_i^\sigma(z_i)} \cdot \alpha_i|} f,$$

with $f \in S_{1/3}$.

Now, by (3.7), we have that $E(\alpha_i, y_i^\sigma(z_i), k) = O(k^{-\infty})$. On the other hand, by the assumption we made on σ, we have $|\nu_{y_i^\sigma(z_i^\sigma)} \cdot \alpha_i^\sigma| = \nu_{y_i^\sigma(z_i^\sigma)}$. The fact that $\mathrm{d}((z_i,\alpha_i),(z_i^\sigma,\alpha_i^\sigma)) \leq k^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$, combined with the fact that we work on a set where $|\nu_{y_i^\sigma(z_i)} \cdot \alpha_i| \geq Ck^{-1/6}$ then implies that

$$\frac{|\nu_{y_i^\sigma(z_i)} \cdot \alpha_i| - \nu_{y_i^\sigma(z_i)} \cdot \alpha_{i+1} + E(\alpha_i, y_i^\sigma(z_i), k)}{|\nu_{y_i^\sigma(z_i)} \cdot \alpha_i|} = O(k^{-1/3+\varepsilon}).$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\|\chi\big(k^{1/2-\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z}),(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^\sigma,\alpha_p^\sigma,\boldsymbol{z}^\sigma)\big)\big)\tilde{a}^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,z))\|_{C_0} = O(k^{-1/3+c'\varepsilon}).$$

All in all, we have that

$$c_k\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{d-1}\Big)^p\|A^2_\sigma\|_{C^0} \leq Ck^{\frac{3}{2}(d-1)-1/3+c''\varepsilon}.$$

Using the fact that $\|\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\|_{L^1} = O(k^{(d-1)/2})$, we get that

$$c_k\int_{\mathcal{I}\setminus G_\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}\omega_0\mathrm{d}\eta_0\langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_\sigma,\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle = O(k^{d-1-1/3+c''\varepsilon}),$$

which proves the lemma in this case.

Let us now suppose that $\forall i = 0,...,p-1$, we have $\sigma_i = (-,\bullet)$. From (4.6), we see that $\tilde{\kappa}^\sigma(\omega_0,\eta_0) = \kappa^{n_0}(\omega_0,\eta_0)$, for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\tilde{\kappa}^\sigma$ is as in (4.7). The fact that $\sigma \neq \sigma_0$ implies furthermore that $n_0 > 0$.

Suppose that (ω_0,η_0) is such that for all $n_0 = 1,...,p-1$, we have $\mathrm{d}((\omega_0,\eta_0),\kappa^{n_0}(\omega_0,\eta_0)) > k^{-1/3}$. Then, using (5.6) and computing $\partial_{\alpha_p}\Phi^\sigma_p$, we see that we have

$$\forall\varepsilon > 0, \forall(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z}) \in \mathrm{spt}\tilde{\chi}_\sigma, \forall k \text{ large enough }, \ |\partial\Phi^\sigma_p(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\alpha_p,\boldsymbol{z})| > k^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2p}}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma A.1, we have that

$$\langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_\sigma,\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle = O(k^{-\infty}).$$

Therefore, if we write

$$\mathcal{I}^p_{per}(k) := \{(\omega_0,\eta_0) \in \mathcal{I} \text{ such that } \exists 1 \leq n \leq p-1, \mathrm{d}((\omega_0,\eta_0),\kappa^n(\omega_0,\eta_0)) < k^{-1/3}\},$$

we have that

$$c_k\int_{\mathcal{I}\setminus G_\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}\omega_0\mathrm{d}\eta_0\langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_\sigma,\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle = c_k\int_{\mathcal{I}^p_{per}(k)}\mathrm{d}\omega_0\mathrm{d}\eta_0\langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_\sigma,\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle + O(k^{-\infty}).$$

Now, thanks to (2.5), we know that $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{I}^p_{per}(k)) = O(k^{-1/3})$. We deduce from this that

$$c_k\int_{\mathcal{I}^p_{per}(k)}\mathrm{d}\omega_0\mathrm{d}\eta_0\langle A^{p,\omega_0,\eta_0}_\sigma,\phi_{\omega_0,\eta_0}\rangle = O(k^{d-1-1/3}).$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

6. Proofs of theorems 1.1 and 1.3

We now prove the theorems from the introduction using the trace formula in Proposition 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.1 from Proposition 1.4 follows is the same as in [13, §5], but we recall it for the reader's convenience.

6.1. **Phase shift asymptotics.** Let us define, for any $\alpha > 0$,

$$C^0_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^1) = \{f \in C^0(\mathbb{S}^1;\mathbb{C}); f(z)\big|\log|z-1|\big|^\alpha \text{ is continuous }\}.$$

$$\|f\|_\alpha = \sup_{|z|=1, z\neq 1} \big|\log|z-1|\big|^\alpha |f(z)| \quad \text{for } f \in C^0_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^1).$$

Note that $C^0_\alpha \subset C^0_{\alpha'}$ if $\alpha > \alpha'$. We will now prove the following theorem, which is a slightly refined version of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a smooth strictly convex open set, such that (2.5) holds. Let $\alpha > d$ and let $f \in C^0_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^1)$. Then we have*

$$\langle \mu_k, f\rangle = \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega)\omega_{d-1}}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta})d\theta + o(1).$$

Before writing the proof, let us state two technical lemmas. Recall that we denote the eigenvalues of $S(k)$ by $e^{i\beta_{k,n}}$. We shall from now on take the convention that $|e^{i\beta_{k,n}} - 1| \geq |e^{i\beta_{k,n+1}} - 1|$.

For any $L \geq 1$, we shall denote by $N_{L,k}$ the number of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|e^{i\beta_{k,n}} - 1| \geq e^{-Lk}$.

Lemma 6.2. *There exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for any $L \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$, we have $N_{L,k} \leq C_0\big(Lk\big)^{d-1}$.*

Proof. Thanks to equation (2.3) in [3] (which relies on the methods developed in [29]), we have that there exists $C > 0$ independent of k and n such that

$$|e^{i\beta_{k,n}} - 1| \leq Ck^d \exp\Big(Ck - \frac{n^{1/(d-1)}}{C}\Big). \tag{6.1}$$

In particular, we have that for any $N \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{n=1}^N |e^{i\beta_{k,n}} - 1| &\leq \Big(Ck^d\Big)^N \exp\Big(\frac{N}{C}k - \frac{1}{C}\sum_{n=1}^N n^{1/(d-1)}\Big)\\ &\leq \big(Ck^d\big)^N \exp\Big(NCk - C'N^{d/(d-1)}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

for some $C' > 0$ independent of k, N.

Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}e^{-kLN_{L,k}} &\leq \prod_{n=1}^{N_{L,k}} |e^{i\beta_{k,n}} - 1|\\ &\leq \Big(Ck^d\Big)^{N_{L,k}} \exp\Big(CkN_{L,k} - C'{N_{L,h}}^{d/(d-1)}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

By taking logarithms, we get

$$-kLN_{L,k} \leq N_{L,k}\log\Big(Ck^d\Big) + kCN_{L,k} - C'{N_{L,k}}^{d/(d-1)}.$$

The first term in the right hand side is negligible, so we get, by possibly changing slightly the constant C',

$$C'{N_{L,k}}^{d/(d-1)} \leq kN_{L,k}(C+L).$$

Therefore, $N_{L,k} \leq \Big(\frac{k(C+L)}{C'}\Big)^{d-1} \leq C_0(Lk)^{d-1}$ for some $C_0 > 0$ large enough, but independent of L and k, which concludes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 6.3. *For any $\alpha > d$, there exists $C_\alpha > 0$ such that for any $f \in C^0_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^1)$, we have*

$$|\langle \mu_k, f\rangle| \leq C\|f\|_\alpha$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle \mu_k, f\rangle| &= \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1}\Big|\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} f(e^{i\beta_{k,n}})\Big| \\ &\leq \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1}\sum_{|e^{i\beta_{k,n}}-1|\geq e^{-k}} |f(e^{i\beta_{k,n}})| + \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1}\sum_{|e^{i\beta_{k,n}}-1|<e^{-k}} |f(e^{i\beta_{k,n}})|.\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Let us consider the first sum. By Lemma 6.2, it has at most C_0k^{d-1} terms. Hence, it is bounded by

$$\Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1}\sum_{|e^{i\beta_{k,n}}-1|\geq e^{-k}} |f(e^{i\beta_{k,n}})| \leq \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1} C_0k^{d-1}\|f\|_{C^0} \leq C\|f\|_\alpha, \tag{6.3}$$

for some $C>0$. Let us now consider the second term in (6.2). For each $p\geq 1$, we denote by $\sigma_{p,k}$ the set of $n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $e^{-k(p+1)}\leq |e^{i\beta_{k,n}}-1|<e^{-pk}$. By Lemma 6.2, $\sigma_{p,k}$ contains at most $C_0\big(k(p+1)\big)^{d-1}$ elements. On the other hand, for each $n\in\sigma_{p,k}$, we have

$$|f(e^{i\beta_{k,n}})| \leq \|f\|_\alpha\big|\log(e^{-pk})\big|^{-\alpha} = \frac{\|f\|_\alpha}{(kp)^\alpha}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1}\sum_{|e^{i\beta_{k,n}}-1|<e^{-k}} |f(e^{i\beta_{k,n}})| &= \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1}\sum_{p=1}^{+\infty}\sum_{n\in\sigma_{p,k}} |f(e^{i\beta_{k,n}})| \\ &\leq \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1}\sum_{p=1}^{+\infty} C_0\big(p(k+1)\big)^{d-1}\frac{\|f\|_\alpha}{(kp)^\alpha} \\ &\leq Ck^{-\alpha}\|f\|_\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

for some C independent of k. This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 6.1 and (1.2). We have proved the result for all trigonometric polynomials vanishing at 1 in Proposition 1.4. Let $\alpha>\alpha'>d$, and let $f\in C^0_\alpha\subset C^0_{\alpha'}$. Let us show that f can be approximated by trigonometric polynomials vanishing at 1 in the $C^0_{\alpha'}$ norm, which will conclude the proof of the theorem thanks to Lemma 6.3.

Since $f(z)\big(1+\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{2\alpha}\big)^{1/2}$ is continuous, we may find a sequence P_n of polynomials such that

$$\big\|P_n - f(z)\big(1+\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{2\alpha}\big)^{1/2}\big\|_{C^0}\leq 1/n.$$

Since $f(0)=0$, we may suppose that $P_n(1)=0$. We may also suppose that $P_n'(1)=0$ (for a proof of this fact, see for example [7, Theorem 8, §6]).

Since the function $\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{\alpha'}\big(1+\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{2\alpha}\big)^{-1/2}$ is continuous, we have that

$$\big\|P_n\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{\alpha'}\big(1+\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{2\alpha}\big)^{-1/2} - f(z)\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{\alpha'}\big\|_{C^0}\leq C/n. \tag{6.4}$$

Now, since $P_n(1)=P_n'(1)=0$, the function $P_n/\big((z-1)\big(1+\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{2\alpha}\big)^{1/2}\big)$ is continuous, and we may find a polynomial Q_n such that

$$\Big\|\frac{P_n}{(z-1)\big(1+\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{2\alpha}\big)^{1/2}} - Q_n\Big\|_{C^0}\leq 1/n$$

Since the function $(z-1)\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{\alpha'}$ is continuous, we obtain that

$$\Big\|P_n\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{\alpha'}\big(1+\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{2\alpha}\big)^{-1/2} - Q_n(z-1)\big|\log|z-1|\big|^{\alpha'}\Big\|_{C^0}\leq C'/n \tag{6.5}$$

Combining (6.4) and (6.5), we obtain that f can be approached by $(z-1)Q_n$ in the $C^0_{\alpha'}$ norm. This concludes the proof of Theorem 6.1. □

6.2. **Total scattering phase.** We now give our alternative proof of the scattering phase asymptotics in Theorem 1.3. We begin by recalling that the scattering phase $s(k)$ can be defined continuously in such a way that $\lim_{k\to 0^+} s(k) = 0$ and thus defined is in fact smooth for all $k > 0$. We define the 'reduced' scattering phase by the sum

$$s_{2\pi}(k) = -\sum_{e^{i\beta_{k,n}} \in \sigma(S(k))} \beta_{k,n}$$

where the logarithms of the eigenvalues, the $\beta_{k,n}$ are chosen to take values in $(-2\pi, 0]$. For fixed k the eigenvalues accumulate at 1 from the bottom half plane and thus contribute positive values to the sum, which is nonetheless finite. A result of Eckmann-Pillet [9] shows that eigenvalues approach 1 with positive imaginary part if and only if k approaches a Dirichlet eigenvalue of Ω. In fact, with $N_D(k)$ as in (1.7), we have

$$s(k) = 2\pi N_D(k) + s_{2\pi}(k).$$

Under the hypothesis that the measure of the periodic billiard trajectories in Ω is zero, it is known [15] that

$$N_D(k) = \frac{\omega_d}{(2\pi)^d}\mathrm{Vol}(\Omega)k^d - \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{4(2\pi)^{d-1}}\mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega)k^{d-1} + o(k^{d-1}).$$

Note that this is the same asymptotic expansion as for the scattering phase, with the sign of the second term reversed. We claim that

$$s_{2\pi}(k) = s(k) - N_D(k) = \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{2(2\pi)^{d-2}}\mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega)k^{d-1} + o(k^{d-1}).$$

We will prove this by breaking up the unit circle into $M \in \mathbb{N}$ sectors of size $2\pi/M$ estimating the sum defining $s_{2\pi}(k)$ in these sectors. Namely, let $A_{M,k}(j) = \{-2\pi j/M < \beta_{k,n} < -2\pi(j+1)/M\}$ and $\alpha_{M,k}(j) = \sum_{A_{M,k}(j)} -\beta_{M,k}$, so that

$$s_{M,k} = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \alpha_{M,k}(j).$$

We begin with $j = 0$, which is distinct from $j > 0$ since there are infinitely many phase shifts in $A_{M,k}(0)$. We claim that

$$|a_{M,k}(0)| \leq \frac{C}{M}k^{d-1}.$$

Indeed, using (6.1) and a constant $C > 0$ whose value changes from line to line, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{M,k}(0) &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{2^{-j}/M \geq |\beta_{k,n}|/(2\pi) \geq 2^{-(j+1)}/M} |e^{i\beta_{k,n}} - 1| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{M}2^{-j}\right) \sum_{2^{-j}/M \geq |\beta_{k,n}|/(2\pi) \geq 2^{-(j+1)}/M} 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{M}2^{-j}\right) C(k + (j+1)/k)^{d-1} \\ &\leq \frac{k^{d-1}}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{-j}\right) C(1 + (j+1)/k^2)^{d-1} \leq C\frac{k^{d-1}}{M} \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

For $j > 0$, we estimate $\alpha_{M,k}(j)$ from above and below, and clearly

$$\frac{2\pi j}{M}|A_{M,k}(j)| \leq a_{M,k}(j) \leq \frac{2\pi(j+1)}{M}|A_{M,k}(j)| \tag{6.7}$$

It follows from the (1.2), since our sectors are size $2\pi/M$, that for $0 < j \leq M-1$, for any $\delta > 0$ and $k > k_{M,\delta}$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\omega_{d-1}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\frac{2\pi}{M}\mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega) - \delta\right)k^{d-1} \leq |A_{M,k}(j)| \leq \left(\frac{\omega_{d-1}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\frac{2\pi}{M}\mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega) + \delta\right)k^{d-1}$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{M-1}(j+1) = M(M-1)/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\omega_{d-1}}{(2\pi)^{d-2}}\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega) - C(1/M+\delta M)\right)k^{d-1} \leq s_{M,k}\\ s_{M,k} \leq \left(\frac{\omega_{d-1}}{(2\pi)^{d-2}}\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega) + C(1/M+\delta M)\right)k^{d-1},\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{k\to\infty} s(k)k^{d-1} \leq \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{(2\pi)^{d-2}}\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega) + C(1/M+\delta M),\\ \liminf_{k\to\infty} s(k)k^{d-1} \geq \frac{\omega_{d-1}}{(2\pi)^{d-2}}\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Vol}(\partial\Omega) - C(1/M+\delta M)\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

for any $M \in \mathbb{N}, \delta > 0$. Taking $\delta = 1/M^2$ and sending $M \to \infty$ gives the result.

Appendix A. Symbol classes and stationary phase

Let X be a compact manifold, and let $a = (a_k)_{k>0}$ be a family of functions in $C^\infty(X)$, and let $0 \leq \delta < 1/2$. We shall write that $a \in S_\delta(X)$ if

$$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}, \exists C_\beta \text{ such that } \forall x \in X, |\partial^\beta a(x,k)| \leq C_\beta k^{|\beta|}. \tag{A.1}$$

The following lemma follows from [12, Lemma 7.7.1].

Lemma A.1. *Let $\delta < 1/2$. Suppose that $a \in S_\delta(X)$, and that $\Phi_k \in S_0(X)$. Suppose that there exists $C, \varepsilon > 0$ such that for all x in the support of a_k, we have $|\partial\Phi_k(x)| \geq Ck^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$. We then have*

$$\int_X e^{ik\Phi_k(x)}a_k(x)dx = O(k^{-\infty}).$$

The following stationary phase result is a consequence of [12, Theorem 7.7.5] when the phase ϕ is independent of k, and its proof is the same when ϕ depends on k.

Lemma A.2. *Let X be a n dimensional manifold, and let $X' \Subset X$. Let $a \in S_{1/3}(X')$, let $x_0 \in X'$ and let $\phi \in S_{1/3}(X)$ be a function, such that for all, $\Im\phi \geq 0$ in X', $\Im\phi(x_0) = 0$, $\nabla\phi(x_0) = 0$. We furthermore assume that there exists $c, C > 0$ independent of k such that $c \leq |\det \partial^2\phi(x_0)| \leq C$, and $|x-x_0|/|\nabla\phi(x)| \leq C$. We then have*

$$\int_X a(x)e^{ik\phi(x)}\mathrm{d}x = \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{n/2}|\det(\partial^2\phi(x_0)/i)|^{-1/2}a(x_0)e^{ik\phi(x_0)} + O\big(k^{-n/2-1/3}\big).$$

Appendix B. Traces and Gaussian states

The following lemma can be found in [14], but we recall its proof for completeness.

Lemma B.1. *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. We have*

$$f(\omega) = c_k \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\xi \int_{\xi^\perp} \mathrm{d}x\phi_{x,\xi}(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\omega' \overline{\phi_{x,\xi}(\omega')}f(\omega'), \tag{B.1}$$

where c_k is a parameter depending on k, with $c_k = 2^{-(d-1)/2}\big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\big)^{3(d-1)/2} + O_{k\to\infty}(k^{3d/2-2})$.

Proof. Let us write $g(\omega) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\xi \int_{\xi^\perp} \mathrm{d}x\phi_{x,\xi}(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\omega' \overline{\phi_{x,\xi}(\omega')}f(\omega')$.

Let us write for all $t \geq 0$ $\tilde{\chi}_k(t) := e^{-kt^2/2}\chi\big(k^{1/3}t\big)$, so that $\tilde{\chi}_k$ has support in $[0, \frac{3}{4}k^{-1/3})$.

We have

$$g(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\xi \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\omega' \tilde{\chi}_k(|\omega-\xi|)\tilde{\chi}_k(|\omega'-\xi|)f(\omega') \int_{\xi^\perp} \mathrm{d}x e^{\frac{i}{h}(-\omega+\omega')\cdot x}.$$

On $\{\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}; |\omega-\xi| \leq 3/4\}$, we may define a local chart by projecting on $\xi^\perp$. This way, we have $\omega = \omega(y)$ with $y \in \xi^\perp$, so that $|y| = |\sin(\widehat{\omega,\xi})|$, where $(\widehat{\omega,\xi})$ denotes the angle between the vectors ω and ξ. We also have $\left|\det\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{dy}\right)\right| = |\cos(\widehat{\omega,\xi})|^{d-1}$.

Noting that if $x \in \xi^\perp$, we have $\omega(y) \cdot x = y \cdot x$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(\omega) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\xi \int_{\xi^\perp} |\cos(\widehat{\omega(y'),\xi})|^{d-1} \mathrm{d}y' \tilde{\chi}_k(|\omega-\xi|)\tilde{\chi}_k(|\omega(y')-\xi|) f(\omega(y')) \int_{\xi^\perp} \mathrm{d}x e^{\frac{i}{h}(-y_\omega+y')\cdot x} \\ &= \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1} f(\omega) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\xi |\cos(\widehat{\omega,\xi})|^{d-1} \tilde{\chi}_k^2(|\omega-\xi|). \end{aligned}$$

We write $c_k^{-1} := (2\pi/k)^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\xi |\cos(\widehat{\omega,\xi})|^{d-1} \tilde{\chi}_k^2(|\omega-\xi|)$, which is independent of ω, since the integrand depends only on $|\omega-\xi|$.

Let us write $r(y) = |\omega(y)-\xi|$. We have $r(y) = y + O(y^2)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} c_k^{-1} &= \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1} \int_{\xi^\perp} |\cos(\widehat{\omega(y),\xi})|^{d-1} e^{-k|\omega(y)-\xi|^2} \big|\chi\big(k^{1/3}|\omega(y)-\xi|\big)\big|^2 \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1} \int_{\xi^\perp} \Big|\cos\Big(\omega\Big(\widehat{\frac{z}{\sqrt{k}}}\Big),\xi\Big)\Big|^{d-1} e^{-kr(k^{-1/2}z)^2} \Big|\chi\Big(k^{1/3} r(z/\sqrt{k})\Big)\Big|^2 k^{(1-d)/2} \mathrm{d}z \\ &= \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{d-1} \Big(k^{(1-d)/2} \int_{\xi^\perp} e^{-z^2} \mathrm{d}z + O(k^{-d/2})\Big) \\ &= 2^{-(d-1)/2} \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{3(d-1)/2} + O\big(k^{-3d/2+1}\big). \end{aligned}$$

□

As a corollary of the resolution of identity formula, let us state the following result. The proof is standard (see for instance [4, 1.2.3]), but we recall it for the reader's convenience.

Corollary B.2. *Let A be a trace-class operator acting on $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. We then have*

$$\mathrm{Tr} A = c_k \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathrm{d}\omega \int_{\omega^\perp} \mathrm{d}\xi \langle \phi_{\omega,\xi}, A\phi_{\omega,\xi}\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}.$$

Proof. Let A be a trace class operator, and let $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}\, A &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \langle e_n, Ae_n\rangle \\ &= c_k^2 \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \int \mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{d}\eta \mathrm{d}\omega' \mathrm{d}\eta' \langle e_n, \phi_{\omega,\eta}\rangle \langle e_n, \phi_{\omega',\eta'}\rangle \langle \phi_{\omega,\eta} A \phi_{\omega',\eta'}\rangle \\ &= c_k^2 \int \mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{d}\eta \mathrm{d}\omega' \mathrm{d}\eta' \langle \phi_{\omega',\eta'}, \phi_{\omega,\eta}\rangle \langle \phi_{\omega,\eta} A \phi_{\omega',\eta'}\rangle \\ &= c_k \int \mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{d}\eta \langle \phi_{\omega,\eta} A \phi_{\omega,\eta}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used Lemma B.1 twice to go from the first line to the second, by decomposing e_n in terms of the functions $\phi_{\omega,\eta}$. We then used the fact that e_n is an orthonormal basis to go from the second line to the third one. Finally, we used Lemma B.1 to go from the third line to the last one. □

Appendix C. Computation of a determinant

Lemma C.1. *Let $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Consider the matrix*

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & \mathbf{1}_n & -\mathbf{1}_n & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & -\mathbf{1}_n & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & \mathbf{0}_{np\times np} & & & & 0 & 0 & -\mathbf{1}_n & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & & \dots & & & \\ & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \mathbf{1}_n & -\mathbf{1}_n & 0 \\ & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & -\mathbf{1}_n \\ \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & A+B & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\mathbf{1}_n & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}_n r & \mathbf{1}_n & \dots & 0 & 0 & & & \mathbf{0}_{n(p+1)\times n(p-1)} & & & & \\ & & & \dots & & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\mathbf{1}_n & \mathbf{1}_n & & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\mathbf{1}_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & A-B \end{pmatrix}.$$

We then have

$$\det M = (-1)^{pn} \det(2A).$$

Proof. In the right part of the matrix, we add each block of n columns to the block of n columns on its right, starting from the left. We get

$$\det M = \det \begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & & & & & & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & & \mathbf{0}_{np\times np} & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ & & & & & & & & & \dots & & & & \\ & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & 0 & \\ & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & \\ \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & & & & \boldsymbol{L} & & & \\ -\mathbf{1}_n & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & & & & & & & \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}_d & \mathbf{1}_d & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & & & & \mathbf{0}_{n(p+1)\times n(p-1)} & & & \\ & & & \dots & & & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & & & -\mathbf{1}_n & \mathbf{1}_n & & & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \dots & 0 & -\mathbf{1}_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & A-B \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\boldsymbol{L}$ is the $n(p+1) \times d$ matrix

$$\boldsymbol{L} := \begin{pmatrix} A+B & A+B & \dots & A+B \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each $i = 1, ..., p$, we may use the Laplace formula[2] for the block of lines number i. We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \det M &= (-1)^{pn} \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & A+B \\ -\mathbf{1}_n & \mathbf{1}_n & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}_n & A-B \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{pn} \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & 0 & A+B \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_n & A+B \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1}_n & A-B \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{pn} \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n & A+B \\ -\mathbf{1}_n & A-B \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{pn} \det(2A). \end{aligned}$$

□

[2]We actually obtain a factor $(-1)^{(pn)^2}$ due to all the sign changes in the Laplace formula, which is always equal to $(-1)^{pn}$.

References

[1] M. S. Birman and D. R. Yafaev. Asymptotic behaviour of the spectrum of the scattering matrix. *J. Sov. Math.*, 25:793–814, 1984.

[2] D. Bulger and A. Pushnitski. The spectral density of the scattering matrix for high energies. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 316(3):693–704, 2012.

[3] T. Christiansen. A sharp lower bound for a resonance-counting function in even dimensions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.04952*, 2015.

[4] M. Combescure and D. Robert. *Coherent states and applications in mathematical physics*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[5] K. Datchev, J. Gell-Redman, A. Hassell, and P. Humphries. Approximation and equidistribution of phase shifts: spherical symmetry. *to appear in Comm. Math. Phys.*, 2013.

[6] E. Doron and U. Smilansky. Semiclassical quantization of chaotic billiards: a scattering theory approach. *Nonlinearity*, 5(5):1055–1084, 1992.

[7] P. L. Duren. *Invitation to classical analysis*, volume 17. American Mathematical Soc., 2012.

[8] S. Dyatlov and M. Zworski. Mathematical theory of scattering resonances, book in preparation.

[9] J.-P. Eckmann and C.-A. Pillet. Spectral duality for planar billiards. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 170(2):283–313, 1995.

[10] J. Gell-Redman, A. Hassell, and S. Zelditch. Equidistribution of phase shifts in semiclassical potential scattering. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 91(1):159–179, 2015.

[11] J. W. Helton and J. V. Ralston. The first variation of the scattering matrix. *J. Differential Equations*, 21(2):378–394, 1976.

[12] L. Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I*. Springer Study edition. Springer-Verlag, second edition, 1990. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis.

[13] M. Ingremeau. Equidistribution of phase shifts in trapped scattering. *arXiv:1602.00141, To Appear in Journal of Spectral Theory*, 2016.

[14] M. Ingremeau. The semi-classical scattering matrix from the point of view of gaussian states. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.06783*, 2016.

[15] V. Ivrii. Second term of the spectral asymptotic expansion of the laplace-beltrami operator on manifolds with boundary. *Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, 14(2):98–106, 1980.

[16] A. Majda and J. Ralston. An analogue of Weyl's theorem for unbounded domains. I. *Duke Math. J.*, 45(1):183–196, 1978.

[17] R. Melrose. *Geometric Scattering Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[18] R. B. Melrose. Weyl asymptotics for the phase in obstacle scattering. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 13(11):1431–1439, 1988.

[19] R. B. Melrose and M. E. Taylor. Near peak scattering and the corrected Kirchhoff approximation for a convex obstacle. *Adv. in Math.*, 55(3):242–315, 1985.

[20] S. Nakamura and A. Pushnitski. The spectrum of the scattering matrix near resonant energies in the semiclassical limit. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 366(4):1725–1747, 2014.

[21] V. Petkov and L. Stojanov. On the number of periodic reflecting rays in generic domains. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 8(01):81–91, 1988.

[22] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. III*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1979.

[23] D. Robert. On the Weyl formula for obstacles. In *Partial differential equations and mathematical physics (Copenhagen, 1995; Lund, 1995)*, volume 21 of *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, pages 264–285. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.

[24] Y. Safarov and D. Vassilev. *The asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues of partial differential operators*, volume 155. American Mathematical Soc., 1997.

[25] A. V. Sobolev and D. R. Yafaev. Phase analysis in the problem of scattering by a radial potential. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 147:155–178, 206, 1985. Boundary value problems of mathematical physics and related problems in the theory of functions, No. 17.

[26] M. E. Taylor. *Partial differential equations II. Qualitative studies of linear equations*, volume 116 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2011.

[27] S. Zelditch. Kuznecov sum formulae and Szegő limit formulae on manifolds. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 17(1-2):221–260, 1992.

[28] S. Zelditch. Index and dynamics of quantized contact transformations. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 47(1):305–363, 1997.

[29] M. Zworski. Sharp polynomial bounds on the number of scattering poles. *Duke Math. J*, 59(2):311–323, 1989.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Melbourne
E-mail address: jgell@unimelb.edu.au

Laboratoire de Mathematiques d'Orsay, Universite Paris-Sud
E-mail address: maxime.ingremeau@math.u-psud.fr